

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,697	09/05/2006	Daisuke Sawaki	295567US0PCT	3035
22859 7590 07/13/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/591,697 SAWAKI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Paul Marcantoni 1793

The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time	ID STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, IS LONGER, FROM THE MALILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFT 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed		
 If NO period for re Failure to reply wi Any reply receive 	rply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, thin the set or exhanded period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONE (63 U.S.C. § 10.3). It by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any an adjustment. See 30 FCPR 1.70(4).		
Status			
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)☐ Since th	is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in	n accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Cl	aims		
4)⊠ Claim(s)	<u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	e above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	is/are allowed.		
	<u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		
	is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Pape	rs		
9)☐ The spec	ification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The draw	ring(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant	may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacer	nent drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)∏ The oath	or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119		
	edgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)∏ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
_	ertified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
	ertified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
	opies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
	oplication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the a	ttached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/05) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/2006.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/591,697

Art Unit: 1793

fact been patented.

Double Patenting (35 USC 101):

Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 11/576,604 (Sawaki et al.). This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mtg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

35 USC 102/103:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/591,697

Art Unit: 1793

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 234757 (abstract-from applicants IDS), JP 344555 (Ozawa et al. abstract-from applicants IDS), JP 281399 (Hirose et al.-from applicants IDS), JP 52154833 (abstract), or JP 357198752 A (Hirano abstract).

All of the above cited references teach a composition comprising a hydraulic composition with a hydraulic modulus, silica modulus, and iron modulus within applicants claimed range as well as gypsum thus anticipating applicants' instant claims (See abstract for each reference cited above). Even if not anticipated, overlapping ranges of amounts would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Note also that the amount of fluorine reads upon zero for applicants' claims.

35 USC 103:

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 234757 (abstract-from applicants IDS), JP 344555 (Ozawa et al. abstract-from applicants IDS), JP 281399 (Hirose et al.-from applicants IDS), JP 52154833 (abstract), or JP 357198752 A (Hirano abstract) in view of Pennell and Watson.

The primary references teach a hydraulic modulus, silica modulus, and iron modulus within applicants' claimed range. Not all teach, however, derivation from waste material sources in making the equivalent Portland cement clinker. However, the use of waste materials to make Portland cement is old and conventional in the art as shown by the teaching of Pennell and Watson. Both teach that waste materials can be used as raw materials to make Portland cement clinker and whether using pristine or virgin

Application/Control Number: 10/591,697

Art Unit: 1793

starting raw materials or waste materials, both can be used to make the final Portland clinker cement product.

35 USC 112 Second Paragraph:

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

Applicants use of abbreviations and parentheses (H.M.), (S.M.), (I.M.) should be deleted in claim 1 and all claims used because it is already written out in long form and redundant. The use still of abbrevations and parentheses in claims is to be avoided. Please delete from all claims.

Applicants may consider writing gypsum dehydrate and gypsum hemihydrate and reversing the order of terms in claims 3 and 4 or any other claim it appears.

Applicants usage of "selected from" is indefinite Markusch language. Please amend to –selected from the group consisting of---. Do this in claims 5,7, and 8 and any other claims using "selected from".

The term "types" is indefinite in claim 7. Please delete it, it is not needed. The same is true for "types" in claim 8. Please delete it as well.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,697 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Marcantoni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793